



Dkt. 0575/50865/JPW/AJM/BJA

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED

DEC 09 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Applicants : Dusan Bartsch et al.
Serial No. : 08/656,811 Examiner: M. P.
Filed : June 3, 1996 Group Art Unit: 1646
For : METHOD FOR ENHANCING LONG TERM MEMORY IN A
SUBJECT AND USES THEREOF

1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
December 3, 2004

Office of Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Sir:

PETITION TO REVIVE AN UNINTENTIONALLY
ABANDONED APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b)

This Petition is submitted to revive the above-identified application under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b).

Background

On April 22, 2002, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") issued a Final Office Action in connection with the above-identified application. On September 23, 2002, applicants filed an Amendment in Response to April 22, 2002 Final Office Action and a Request for a Two-Month Extension of Time (September 22, 2002 being a Sunday). On October 22, 2002, applicants filed a Notice of Appeal From the Examiner's Decision to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences by first class mail, including a Certificate of Mailing dated October 22, 2002. The Notice of Appeal also included a request for an additional one-month extension of time for filing the

12/07/2004 JBALINAN 00000005 08656811

01 FC:2453

685.00 OP

Adjustment date: 04/19/2005 AKELLEY
12/07/2004 JBALINAN 00000005 08656811
01 FC:2453 -685.00 OP

Repln. Ref: 04/19/2005 AKELLEY 0010485500
DHH:161425 Name/Number:08656811
FC: 9204 \$685.00 CR

Applicants: Du... Bartsch et al.
Serial No.: 08/656,811
Filed: June 3, 1996
Page 2

Notice, as well as a check for \$420.00, which included the then \$160.00 filing fee and \$260.00 extension fee. The Notice of Appeal and enclosed check were received by the U.S. Patent Office on October 29, 2002, as evidenced by the stamped returned itemized postcard, a copy of which is annexed hereto as **Exhibit A**.

Also, on October 29, 2002, the PTO issued an Advisory Action in connection with this application. The Advisory Action stated that the Amendment filed on "September 30, 2002" (which applicants understand to be the Amendment filed on September 23, 2002) would not be entered because it allegedly raised new issues that would require further consideration and search.

On December 13, 2002 (i.e. within two months of the filing date of the October 22, 2002 Notice of Appeal) applicants filed a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) along with a Preliminary Communication, a check for \$370.00 and an Express Mail Certificate of Mailing dated December 13, 2002. Copies of these papers are annexed hereto as **Exhibit B**. In the December 13, 2002 RCE, applicants requested that the previously unentered Amendment be considered. The RCE and accompanying papers were deemed as received by the PTO on December 13, 2002, as evidenced by the copy of the stamped returned itemized postcard annexed hereto as **Exhibit C**.

On June 30, 2003, the PTO issued a communication entitled "Communication Re: Appeal". A copy of this Communication is annexed hereto as **Exhibit D**. The June 30, 2003 Communication states that the appeal in this application is "dismissed" because "the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(c) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief

Applicants: Du... Bartsch et al..
Serial No.: 08/656,811
Filed: June 3, 1996
Page 3

under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 has expired." The June 30, 2003 Communication also states that "[b]ecause of the dismissal of the appeal, this application [] is abandoned because there are no allowed claims."

The June 30, 2003 Communication was received by a docket clerk at the undersigned's firm and entered into the firm's docketing system. However, no prompt for taking further action regarding the Communication was entered into the firm's docketing system, contrary to the firm's established operating procedures. As evidence of this docketing omission, a copy of the docketing record for this application is annexed hereto as **Exhibit E**. On page 3 of this record, entitled "Patent Information Print", appears the following: "Notice of Abandonment" beside "Action"; and "30JE2003" beside "Taken Dt." However, beside "Act Due Date", no entry appears. In addition, the undersigned was not made aware of the existence of the June 30, 2003 Communication or the abandoned status of this application until November, 2003, as detailed below.

On November 11, 2003, Dr. Muriel Liberto, then of the undersigned's office, contacted Examiner Michael Pak (the Examiner assigned to this application) to inquire as to the status of the subject application. On that date, Examiner Pak informed Dr. Liberto that this application was abandoned. Dr. Liberto subsequently informed the undersigned of this fact, which was the first time the undersigned became aware that the subject application had become abandoned.

On November 21, 2003, upon Dr. Liberto's request, Examiner Pak provided a copy of the June 30, 2003 Communication Re: Appeal to the undersigned's office.

Applicants: Du... Bartsch et al.
Serial No.: 08/656,811
Filed: June 3, 1996
Page 4

Work on this Petition was performed between November 2003 and the date of this Petition by the undersigned and Dr. Liberto prior to her departure from the firm in September, 2004.

Applicants maintain that the June 30, 2003 Communication Re: Appeal was issued in error, since applicants timely filed an RCE which was received by the PTO. As more than two months have passed since the date on which the June 30, 2003 Communication was issued, this Petition to Revive is submitted instead of a Petition to Withdraw Holding of Abandonment despite applicants' position that the June 30, 2003 Communication was issued in error.

Petition to Revive

Applicants hereby petition to revive the subject application under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) as unintentionally abandoned. A petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply, unless it has been previously filed;
- (2) the petition fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(m);
- (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional; and
- (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee set forth in §120(d)) required pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(d) for a utility application filed before June 8, 1995.

(1) Required Reply Under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) (1)

As stated above, applicants' December 13, 2002 RCE was received by the PTO, and copies of the RCE papers are annexed

Applicants: Du... Bartsch et al.
Serial No.: 08/656,811
Filed: June 3, 1996
Page 5

hereto as **Exhibit B**. Accordingly, no further reply is required.

(2) Petition Fee Required Under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) (2)

The required fee for filing a Petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(m) is SIX HUNDRED EIGHTY FIVE DOLLARS (\$685.00) for a small entity, and applicants enclose a check for this amount. Applicants have previously established small entity status.

(3) Statement that the Entire Delay in Filing the Required Reply was Unintentional Under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) (3)

Applicants state that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of this petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) was unintentional. The facts relating to this statement are set forth above.

(4) Terminal Disclaimer Under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) (4)

Because the subject application was filed on June 3, 1996, which is after June 8, 1995, 37 C.F.R. §1.137(c) does not require that a terminal disclaimer be filed.

In view of the foregoing, applicants earnestly solicit revival of the subject application.

Applicants: Du Bartsch et al.
Serial No.: 08/656,811
Filed: June 3, 1996
Page 6

No fee, other than the enclosed \$685.00 petition fee, is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Petition. However, if any other fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of such fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

Respectfully submitted,

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Office of Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

Alan J. Morrison
Reg. No. 37,399

12/3/04
Date

John P. White
Registration No. 28,678
Alan J. Morrison
Registration No. 37,399
Attorneys for Applicants
Cooper & Dunham LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(212) 278-0400

RECEIVED

DEC 09 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Brief Due: 12/22/2002 Brief Deadline: 1/22/03

Applicant Bartsch et al.

Client Columbia (0575)

File No. 50865

Att'y. JPW/AJM/MML


Date October 22, 2002


Kindly acknowledge receipt of the accompanying

NOTICE OF APPEAL FROM THE EXAMINER'S DECISION TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES, in connection with Bartsch et al. for METHOD FOR ENHANCING LONG-TERM MEMORY IN A SUBJECT AND USES THEREOF, U.S. Serial No. 08/656,811, filed June 3, 1996, including a check in the amount of \$425.00, and a Certificate of Mailing dated October 22, 2002.

Date Due: October 22, 2002

by placing your receiving date stamp hereon and returning to us





Dkt. 0575/50865/JPW/AJM/MML

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Dusan Bartsch et al.

Serial No.: 08/656,811

Examiner: M. Pak

Filed : June 3, 1996

Group Art Unit: 1646

For : METHOD FOR ENHANCING LONG TERM MEMORY IN A SUBJECT
AND USES THEREOF

1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
December 13, 2002

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
BOX: RCE

RECEIVED

SIR:

DEC 09 2004

EXPRESS MAIL CERTIFICATE OF OFFICE OF PETITIONS
MAILING FOR ABOVE-IDENTIFIED APPLICATION

"Express Mail" mailing label number: EV 096 595 215 US

Date of Deposit: December 13, 2002

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, Box: RCE.

Printed Name: John P. White

Respectfully submitted,

John P. White
Registration No. 28,678
Alan J. Morrison
Registration No. 37,399
Attorneys for Applicants
Cooper & Dunham, LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(212) 278-0400

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

Inv. Date
12-13-02

Inv. No. Invoice Description
12-55674 575-50865

P 55674
Amount
370.00 MML

RECEIVED
DEC 09 2004
OFFICE OF PETITIONS



1038

Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks

\$370.00

LR2214LN1

AMERIFORMS 1-800-445-1700

PRINTED IN U.S.A.

COOPER & DUNHAM LLP

PTO ACCOUNT

1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 10036



1-108/210

DATE

12/13/2002

AMOUNT

\$370.00

Three Hundred Seventy and No/100 Dollars

PAY
TO THE
ORDER
OF

10-20-011118

Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks

Handwritten signature

055674 021001088 01177924



Application No. 08/656,811
Filing Date June 3, 1996
Inventor(s) Dusan Bartsch, et al.
Group Art Unit 1646
Examiner Name M. Pak
Attorney Docket No. 50865/JPW/AJM/MML

#37

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

December 13, 2002

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Box RCE
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

DEC 09 2004

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Sir:

This is a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application.

1. ☒ Consider the unentered amendment/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 previously filed on September 23, 2002.
2. ☐ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on _____.
3. ☒ Enclosed is/are
 - ☐ Amendment/Reply.
 - ☐ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s).
 - ☐ Information Disclosure Statement (IDS).
 - ☐ PTO-1449
 - ☐ Copy of IDS Citation
 - ☒ Other: Preliminary Communication
4. ☒ Small entity status under 37 C.F.R. § 1.27 is claimed.
 - ☐ A verified statement to establish small entity status is enclosed.
 - ☒ A verified statement to establish small entity status was previously filed and such status is still proper and desired.
5. ☒ A check in the amount of \$ 370.00 is enclosed

6. X The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the following fees, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.


 X RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e).

 X Extension of time fee (37 C.F.R. §§ 1.136 and 1.17).

 Other fees _____.

7. X Three copies of this sheet are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



John P. White
Registration No. 28,678
Alan J. Morrison
Registration No. 37,399
Attorneys for Applicants
Cooper & Dunham LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
(212) 278-0400



Dkt. 0575/50865/JPW/AJM/MML

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Dusan Bartsch et al.

Serial No.: 08/656,811

Examiner: M. Pak

Filed : June 3, 1996

Group Art Unit: 1646

For : METHOD FOR ENHANCING LONG TERM MEMORY IN A SUBJECT
AND USES THEREOF

1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
December 13, 2002

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231
Box: RCE

Sir:

RECEIVED

DEC 09 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

PRELIMINARY COMMUNICATION

This Preliminary Communication is submitted in order to address certain issues raised in an October 29, 2002 Advisory Action in connection with the above-identified application. On October 22, 2002, applicants submitted a Notice of Appeal. Accordingly, an Appeal Brief is due December 22, 2002. In lieu of filing an Appeal Brief, applicants are filing Request for Continued Examination ("RCE") papers herewith.

REMARKS

In the October 29, 2002 Advisory Action, the Examiner stated that applicants' September 23, 2002 Amendment was not entered because the Amendment allegedly raises new issues that require further search. Applicants hereby request that their September 23, 2002 Amendment be entered in connection with the Request for Continued Examination filed herewith. In addition, applicants make the following remarks to underscore their position with respect to the Examiner's rejection of claims 15, 18-20, and 22, made in the April 22, 2002 Final Office Action.

Applicants: [redacted] Bartsch et al
Serial No.: 08/656,811
Filed: June 3, 1996
Page 2

Applicants understand the Examiner's rejection of claims 15, 18-20, and 22 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as stated in the Office Action, to be based upon an alleged "lack of [a] nexus between invertebrate and vertebrate model[s] of long term-memory defect." The instant claims do not recite the term "defect" and instead are directed to "enhancing long-term memory in a subject."

Applicants point out that a nexus between invertebrate and vertebrate models of long-term memory is the protein CREB1, which is required for long-term memory in both *Aplysia* and mammals (see, e.g., page 22, lines 33-34 and following on page 23, lines 1-2 of the specification). The instant specification demonstrates that inhibiting CREB2 promotes long-term facilitation in the *Aplysia* model. Given the highly conserved roles of the CREB proteins in the biochemical networks regulating memory (see, e.g., page 20, lines 7-34 and following on page 21, lines 1-11 of the specification), the specification provides a reasonable expectation of success that inhibitors of CREB2 will also enhance long-term memory in mammals.


In view of these remarks, and the amendments made in their September 23, 2002 Amendment, applicants maintain that the instant claims are in condition for allowance, and respectfully request that allowance be granted.

Applicants: S. Bartsch et al
Serial No.: 08/656,811
Filed: June 3, 1996
Page 3


No fee, in addition to the \$370.00 continued examination fee, is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this Preliminary Communication. However, if any additional fee is required, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of such fee to Deposit Account No. 03-3125.

If a telephone interview would be of assistance in advancing prosecution of the subject application, applicants' undersigned attorneys invite the Examiner to telephone them at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,



John P. White
Registration No. 28,678
Alan J. Morrison
Registration No. 37,399
Attorneys for Applicants
Cooper & Dunham LLP
1185 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036
Tel. No. (212) 278-0400



RECEIVED

DEC 09 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS


Applicant Bartsch et al. *SML*
Client Columbia (0575) File No. 50865 IRW/AJM/MML
Date December 13, 2002

Kindly acknowledge receipt of the accompanying

RCE for Bartsch et al., for METHOD FOR ENHANCING LONG-TERM MEMORY IN A SUBJECT AND USES THEREOF, U. S. Serial No. 08/656,811, filed June 3, 1996, including a 2 page RCE Request Transmittal (in triplicate), a Preliminary Communication, a check in the amount of \$370.00, and Express Mail Certificate of Mailing bearing Label No. EV 096 595 215 US, dated December 13, 2002.

Date Due: December 22, 2002

by placing your receiving date stamp hereon and returning to us.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

DATE: 11-21-2003

TO ATTORNEY OR AGENT: John White (c/o Muriel Liberto)
ATTORNEY DOCKET OR APPLICATION NO.: 08/656,811

FAX NUMBER: (212) 391-0525 (FAX); (212) 278-0417 (phone)

PAGES, INCLUDING COVERSHEET: 3

FROM EXAMINER: Michael Pak

ART UNIT: 1646

PHONE NUMBER: (703) 305-7038

RECEIVED

DEC 04 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

COMMENTS: As per our discussion, the last office action is attached.

IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED ALL THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSMISSION, PLEASE CONTACT THE ATTORNEY AT THE TELEPHONE NUMBER LISTED ABOVE.

IN COMPLIANCE WITH 37 CFR 301.11, THE FILING DATE ACCORDED EACH OFFICIAL FAX TRANSMISSION WILL BE DETERMINED BY THE FAX MACHINE DATE STAMP FOUND ON THE LAST PAGE OF THE TRANSMISSION, UNLESS THAT DATE IS A SATURDAY, SUNDAY, OR FEDERAL HOLIDAY WITHIN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, IN WHICH CASE THE OFFICIAL DATE OF RECEIPT WILL BE THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY.

THE DOCUMENT(S) ACCOMPANYING THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION CONTAIN(S) INFORMATION FROM THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE WHICH IS CONFIDENTIAL AND/OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. THIS INFORMATION IS FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR FIRM NAMED ON THIS SHEET. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISCLOSURE, COPYING, DISTRIBUTION, OR THE TAKING OF ANY ACTION IN RELIANCE ON THE CONTENTS OF THIS INFORMATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. THE DOCUMENTS SHOULD BE RETURNED TO THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE IMMEDIATELY. IF THIS FACSIMILE IS RECEIVED IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY THE ATTORNEY LISTED HEREON IMMEDIATELY.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1430
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1430
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/656,811	06/03/1996	DUSAN BARTSCH	50865/JPW/JM	1316

7590 06/30/2003
JOHN P WHITE
COOPER & DUNHAM
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 10036

EXAMINER

PAK, MICHAEL D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1646

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2003

35

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Communication Re: Appeal

Application No.

08/656,811

Applicant(s)

BARTSCH ET AL

Examiner

Michael Pak

Art Unit

1646

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

1. ☐ The Notice of Appeal filed on _____ is not acceptable because:

- ☐ it was not timely filed.
- ☐ the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b).
- ☐ the appeal fee received on _____ was not timely filed.
- ☐ the submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$_____.
- ☐ the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no record of a second or a final rejection in this application.
- ☐ a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on _____.

2. ☐ The appeal brief filed on _____ is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:

- ☐ the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192.
- ☐ the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c).
- ☐ the submitted brief fee of \$_____ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$_____.

The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

3. ☒ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because:

- ☒ the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.
- ☐ the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.
- ☐ Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on _____.
- ☐ other: _____

4. ☒ Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:

- ☒ is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.
- ☐ is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.
- ☐ is before the examiner for consideration of the submission and prosecution has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Michael D. Pak
MICHAEL PAK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/23/2003

Patent Information Print

Docket No.	50865	Application #	08/656811
Country	United States	Application Dt	03JE1996
Case Type	REGULAR CASE TYPE	Patent No	
Relation Type	ORIGINAL OR PATENT CASE	Grant Dt	
Filing Type	NATIONAL CASE	Publication #	
Filing No		Publication Dt	
Attorney	JOHN P. WHITE	Assigned	
Agent	ALAN J. MORRISON	Expiration Dt	
Client\Division	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY	Conv Type	
Current Owner	COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY	Tax Base Dt	
Prev Own		Next Tax Dt	
Status	Filed	Associate	JANE M. LOVE
First Filing Dt		Oper Grp	
Sub Stat		Ag Ref No	
Sub Stat Dt		Verified	N
Parent Country		Customer	D4PP
Parent Filing Dt		Create Dt	04JE1996
Parent No		Update Dt	23JL2003
Parent Grant Dt		Update Tm	1207
Total Claims		Update User	MDC
Ind. Claims		Update Type	A

Actions

Action	CHECK DECL./REFUND(if needed	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	03AU1996	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	03SE1996	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	15OC1996	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	MISSING PARTS DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	28SE1996	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	24SE1996	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	8mo FOREIGN FILING REMINDER	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	03FE1997	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	10mo FOREIGN FILING REMINDE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	03AP1997	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	11mo FOREIGN FILING REMINDER	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	03MY1997	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	12mo FOREIGN FILING DEADLINE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	03JE1997	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	30 DAY/1MO RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	08AU1997	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	3 MONTH RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	10FE1998	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	11MY1998	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	6 MONTH RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	10MY1998	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	11MY1998	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	3 MONTH FINAL RESPONSE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	05NO1998	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	05FE1999	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		

Action	BRIEF DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	05AP1999	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	3 MONTH RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	29FE2000	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	6 MONTH RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	29MY2000	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	26MY2000	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	3 MONTH FINAL RESPONSE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	07NO2000	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	4 MONTH FINAL RESPONSE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	07DE2000	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	5 MONTH FINAL RESPONSE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	07JA2001	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	BRIEF DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	07AP2001	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	09AP2001	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Act Notes		
CPA FILED		
Action	3 MONTH RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	19SE2001	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	4 MONTH RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	19OC2001	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	5 MONTH RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	19NO2001	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	6 MONTH RESPONSE DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	19DE2001	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	19DE2001	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	RESPONSE TO FINAL REJ. - 3rd	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	22JL2002	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	RESPONSE TO FINAL REJ. - 4TH	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	22AU2002	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt		Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	RESPONSE TO FINAL REJ. - 5th	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	22SE2002	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	23SE2002	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Action	NOTICE OF APPEAL DUE	Comp Dt
Act Due Date	22OC2002	Resp Atty #1
Taken Dt	22OC2002	Resp Atty #2
DeadLn Dt		
Act Notes		
NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED		
Action	NOTICE OF APPEAL DUE	Comp Dt

Act Due Date 22OC2002
Taken Dt 07FE2001
DeadLn Dt

Resp Atty #1
Resp Atty #2

Act Notes
NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED

Action BRIEF DUE
Act Due Date 22DE2002
Taken Dt 13DE2002
DeadLn Dt

Comp Dt
Resp Atty #1
Resp Atty #2

Act Notes
RCE FILED

Action EXAMINER ISSUED ADV.. ACTION
Act Due Date
Taken Dt 18FE1999
DeadLn Dt

Comp Dt
Resp Atty #1
Resp Atty #2

Action NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT
Act Due Date
Taken Dt 30JE2003
DeadLn Dt

Comp Dt
Resp Atty #1
Resp Atty #2

Act Notes
THIS CASE IS ABANDONED PER THE USPTO'S COMMUNICATION RE: APP
EAL NOTICE, RCV'D 7/2/03.

Action FILING RECEIPT RECEIVED
Act Due Date
Taken Dt 18NO1996
DeadLn Dt

Comp Dt
Resp Atty #1
Resp Atty #2

****Ext Files****

Type	Desc	(CIE-604) AJM
Location		

****Inventors****

Inv Name	Assigned
BARTSCH, Dusan et al.	

****Title****

Title
A METHOD FOR ENHANCING LONG-TERM MEMORY IN A SUBJECT & USES
THEREOF